

Product Profile Results/ Overall Analysis

Tanga's Specifications

Regional Headquarters

Global Headquarters

Tanzania

N/A

Market Share Range

0% - 5%

Type of Ownership

Private (primary owners: Tanga Dairy Cooperative Union (43.5% stake), DOB Equity)

Categories assessed in Product Profile

Butter and Spreads, Dairy

Important - The findings of this Index rely to a large extent on the information shared by companies, in addition to what is found in the public domain. In the case of limited, to no engagement by the companies, this assessment may not represent the full extent of their efforts.


Footnote:

1. Euromonitor International, Staple Foods Industry edition, 2022

Overall Product Profile Results

The results below show the percentage of "healthier" products, or products passing the model's criteria, for the company's overall portfolio, as assessed by different nutrient profiling models.

% products meeting WHO AFRO eligibility criteria



Out of **10** products assessed across all ATNi applicable categories, 20% meet the **WHO AFRO** eligibility criteria for marketing to children.


The **WHO AFRO model** is designed for use by WHO African Region Member States, this model supports policies to restrict food marketing to children. It categorizes products into 25 categories and applies nutrient thresholds per 100g/mL. Products must meet all thresholds to be eligible for marketing. Results are binary: 'marketing permitted' or 'marketing not permitted'.

% healthier products - HSR 70%

Out of **10** products assessed across all ATNi applicable categories, 70% are considered healthier based on **the HSR model** and healthier threshold of 3.5 stars or above.

The **Health Star Rating (HSR)** is a front-of-pack interpretive nutrition labelling system designed to help consumers make healthier choices. It scores products from 0.5 (least healthy) to 5 stars (most healthy), based on nutrients to limit (energy, sodium, total sugar, saturated fat) and positive food components (fruit/vegetable content, protein, fiber) on the basis of nutritional composition per 100g or 100mL across one of six categories. Products scoring 3.5 stars or higher are considered 'healthier'. ATNi uses the HSR in its Global Index and Country Spotlight Indexes to enable cross-company comparisons.

% healthier products - mHSR + micronutrients



Out of **10** products assessed across all ATNi applicable categories, 70% are considered healthier based on **mHSR+ micronutrient model**.

The mHSR + micronutrients (HSR+) model was developed by The George Institute in collaboration with ATNi. The HSR+ model builds on the original HSR by incorporating six key micronutrients: iron, vitamin A, vitamin B12, vitamin D, folic acid, and iodine. This allows for better differentiation of products based on micronutrient content.



Category A: Nutrition Governance*

Findings Recommendations **Nutrition strategy** □ Nutrition strategy Tanga informed ATNi that it has a nutrition strategy in place which focuses Tanga is recommended to assess how it can improve the impact of its commercial activities on public health and on improving public awareness of nutrition and nutrition education; publish a clear commercial strategy to contribute to healthier and more nutritious diets in Tanzania. participation in school feeding programs; stakeholder outreach to ensure Healthy sales target and reporting more affordable pricing; and supporting farmers to increase the production and availability of milk. However, the company did not share any evidence The company is encouraged to develop and publish a specific, measurable, and timebound target to increase sales of with ATNi, and no supporting evidence was found in the public domain, to products that meet a definition of 'healthier' according to formal nutrition standards in Tanzania such as the Foodverify this. Based Dietary Guidelines for a Healthy Population, and report on the percentage of its sales derived from such products. Ideally the company would adopt an internationally recognized NPM or nutrition standards for this Oversight and accountability purpose, such as the HSR, Nutri-Score, or WHO Regional Model for Africa. No information was found regarding the governance of Tanga's nutrition-Strategy reporting related activities, such as Board review and executive accountability. The company should consider publicly reporting on the implementation of each aspect of its nutrition strategy, showing annual progress on meeting set targets (including those outlined in subsequent categories). Reporting should ideally take the form of quantitative metrics, documented in a systematic manner. Board oversight To ensure that the nutrition strategy is prioritized and implemented systematically across the company's operations, the company is recommended to have its Board of Directors review progress against the strategy on at least an annual basis. Executive accountability and remuneration The company is also encouraged to assign formal responsibility for the implementation and success of the nutrition strategy to the CEO, or another senior-level executive, and ideally linking their executive remuneration to at least one of the nutrition strategy's targets or metrics.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category B2.1: Macronutrients and Food Components*

Recommendations Findings ☐ Targets for nutrients of concern ☐ Targets for nutrient of concern Tanga is encouraged to identify products or categories in its portfolio that are high in nutrients of concern (sodium, Tanga informed ATNi that it has initiatives in place to decrease sodium levels in its products by utilizing sodium substitutes and potassium-based free/total sugars and saturated fats) and publish specific, measurable, and timebound targets for reducing levels of these nutrients across all relevant product categories. salts in cheese production. The company stated that it adheres to government-mandated sodium levels for their products and has outlined a □ Reformulation reporting long-term strategy aligned with government directives to reduce fat in fresh The company is encouraged to publicly report on annual progress against reformulation targets using quantitative and fermented milk, and to reduce sugar in yogurt products. However, metrics. supporting evidence for these claims or government-mandated nutrient thresholds was not provided by the company. No information was found in the public domain on progress Tanga has made in reducing levels of key nutrients of concern in its portfolio (sodium, free/total sugars, saturated fats). Industrially produced trans fats (iTFAs) are not relevant to the company's portfolio. **Targets for positive ingredients** Ingredients such as minimally processed fruits, vegetables, nuts, legumes and wholegrains are not relevant to the company's portfolio.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi dg that research period.



Category B2.2: Micronutrients and Fortification*

Findings Recommendations Fortified products Disclosure of micronutrient information Of the 10 products produced by Tanga that were identified for ATNi's Tanga is recommended to specify- in full- the micronutrient content (inherent and if fortified) of its products on back-Product Profile, three were found to have micronutrient data available, of-pack nutrition labels, including when fortified staples are used as ingredients using standardized measurement however none were found to have micronutrients mentioned in ingredient units. list and were not classified as fortified in this assessment. This indicates ☐ Fortification policy that the company either does not currently fortify (or does not disclose that Tanga is advised to develop and publish a fortification policy that includes explicit commitments to follow the CODEX it fortifies) products sold in the Tanzanian market. CAC/GL 9-1987 and/or the WHO/FAO 'Guidelines on Food Fortification with Micronutrients' as per standards set out Fortification policy by the Tanzania government, and to only fortify products that meet the nutrition criteria of an NPM or when required No indication that the company has a policy to only fortify products that by law. meet a definition of 'healthier' according to formal nutrition criteria was Quality and control found in the public domain. The company is encouraged to publish its quality control or assurance methods to determine whether the levels of micronutrient(s) are sufficient in the final product.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category B3: Reporting on Healthiness*

Recommendations **Findings** Nutrient profiling Reporting on portfolio healthiness No information was found in the public domain indicating that the The company is encouraged to measure and publicly report the percentage of its sales which are derived from products classified as 'healthier' according to Tanzania's Food-Based Dietary Guidelines for a Healthy Population or company uses a nutrient profiling model (NPM) to define and report on the ideally an internationally recognized NPM such as the HSR, Nutri-Score, or WHO Regional Model for Africa. healthiness of its product portfolio. ☐ Transparency of NPM application The company should be transparent about how the NPM was applied to its portfolio, specifying which product categories are included and how the products are categorized.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category C: Affordable Nutrition*

Findings Recommendations Affordable nutrition strategy Affordable nutrition strategy Although Tanga shared with ATNi that it works with relevant stakeholders to Tanga is encouraged to adopt and publish a strategy to ensure that it offers products that meet the definition of 'healthier' according to the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines for a Healthy Population or ideally an internationally ensure affordable pricing of its products, the company did not share any evidence with ATNi to verify this. No information was found in the public recognized NPM such as the HSR, Nutri-Score, or WHO Regional Model for Africa, that are priced affordably for lowdomain on whether the company has a strategy to improve the affordability income consumers in Tanzania. of its 'healthy' products through its standard commercial operations. Defining 'affordability' The company is encouraged to use a formal classification of 'low-income consumers' that is Tanzania-specific, based on data published by the National Bureau of Statistics Tanzania, for example, to guide the strategy. **☐** Relative affordability The company could consider measuring the price differential between 'healthier' products relative to products that do not meet formal nutrition criteria, and work on improving the differential between them. Reporting on progress Tanga is advised to report quantitatively on its progress to improve the price differential between 'healthier' and 'less healthy' products across its whole portfolio.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category D: Responsible Marketing*

Findings Recommendations Responsible marketing to children Responsible marketing to children No information on whether Tanga has a Tanga is encouraged to adopt and publish a responsible marketing policy to ensure that it does not market 'less healthy' products to children commitment to market its products responsibly in Tanzania. to children in Tanzania was found in the public Product restrictions for marketing to children domain. Ideally, the company's responsible marketing to children policy would include a commitment not to market products to children at all, or only those products meeting the criteria of an internationally recognized NPM such as the HSR, Nutri-Score, or WHO Regional Model for Africa. Age threshold A 'child' should be defined as those up to the age of 18, as per the Children's Act of 2009. Tanga is recommended to explicitly apply its responsible marketing policy to a comprehensive range of media channels and techniques, including those identified in the WHO Guidelines on this topic. These include all digital, broadcast and print media (e.g. TV, radio, and newspapers, paid ads on third-party websites, social media and apps), and techniques such as celebrity endorsements, sponsorships, and toys, premiums, vouchers, and giveaways that appeal to children under 18. Audience threshold Tanga is encouraged to apply an audience threshold of >25% on measured media to determine whether a channel is considered 'childdirected'. Auditing compliance The company could consider commissioning an independent third-party audit of its responsible marketing commitments in Tanzania, covering a wide range of media channels and marketing techniques, and publish the results. Tanga is recommended to explicitly state that it adheres to the ICC Framework for Responsible Food & Beverage Marketing Communications

in its responsible marketing policy.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category E: Workforce Nutrition*

Findings

Workforce nutrition program

No information was found in the public domain indicating that the company offers healthy food at work, nutrition education, nutrition-focused health checks, and/or breastfeeding support for its employees, nor paid primary or secondary caregiver leave beyond legal requirements in Tanzania.

Recommendations

Tanga is recommended to develop a comprehensive workforce nutrition program which is available to all employees (including at manufacturing sites) in Tanzania, which includes healthy food at work, nutrition education, nutrition-focused health checks, and breastfeeding support. Engaging with the Workforce Nutrition Alliance (WNA) and utilizing its self-assessment scorecards would be a good first step in this regard.

The company is encouraged to increase employees' access to healthy and safe foods at work through direct provision, food subsidies, or by increasing the availability of healthy food options. These food options should include nutrition criteria and be revised by an independent nutrition professional.

□ Nutrition Education

The company is recommended to increase employees' knowledge of healthy nutrition by providing education materials selected and revised by an independent nutrition professional.

□ Nutrition-focused Health Checks

The company is recommended to offer employees periodic, one-to-one meetings with a health or nutrition professional to assess the employee's nutritional health.

□ Breastfeeding Support

The company is encouraged to offer paid maternity leave of at least six months (as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO)) and paid secondary caregiver leave beyond legal requirements. The company can further support breastfeeding mothers in its workforce by providing breastfeeding facilities in the workplace, that include: 1) private, hygienic, safe rooms to express breastmilk; 2) paid breaks to express breastmilk; 3) refrigerators to store breastmilk; and 4) other flexible working arrangements to support breastfeeding mothers.

□ Reporting on implementation

The company is also encouraged to set and publicly report against outcome-focused targets or key performance indicators (KPIs) to measure progress on implementing the workforce nutrition program.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Category F: Responsible Labelling*

Findings

Health and nutrition claims

No information was found in the public domain of a commitment to only place health and nutrition claims on products that meet a definition of 'healthy' according to the formal nutrition criteria of an NPM.

Recommendations

The company is advised to commit to refrain from using nutrition or health claims on products that are not considered 'healthier' according to the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines for a Healthy Population or ideally the formal nutrition criteria of an NPM.

^{*} Statements in the report and company result cards which are about the lack of information/evidence in the public domain are carefully worded to avoid implying that the company does not have a specific policy, strategy, or target. Rather, the statements indicate that while these policies, strategies or targets may exist internally, they are not published publicly in a report, on a public website or on an online platform. These could not be reviewed during the research period, nor were they shared with ATNi during that research period.



Product Profile Results

The Product Profile for EAMA Tanzania provides a structured evaluation of the nutritional composition of packaged food and beverage products from major manufacturers. It employs a range of internationally recognized nutrient profiling models to assess product characteristics, including the Australasian Health Star Rating (HSR), a modified version of HSR including micronutrients (mHSR+ micronutrients), and the World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa (WHO-AFRO) model. These models support a consistent and comparative analysis of portfolio healthiness across the market.

Proportion of "healthier" products, or products passing the model's criteria, per NPM			
Category	% healthier products: HSR	% healthier products: mHSR+ micronutrient	% products meeting WHO AFRO eligibility criteria
Dairy	100% (7/7)	100% (7/7)	29% (2/7)
Butter and Spreads	0% (0/3)	0% (0/3)	0% (0/3)

This table presents an overview of the nutritional quality of products across various food categories, based on three different nutrient profiling models. Each row corresponds to a specific food category, and the percentages reflect the share of products in that category meeting the respective model's criteria. The figures in parentheses indicate the number of products meeting the criteria over the total assessed. Blank cells indicate that data was not available or not applicable for that category and model.

